The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 36

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte BRIAN D. POSSLEY

Application 09/262,458

MAILED

MAY 1 9 2003

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before STONER, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and WILLIAM F. SMITH, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

Per curiam.

On April 21, 2003, counsel for the appellant filed, among other documents, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114. Pursuant to the notice entitled "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg. 50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a request for continued

Application No. 09/262,458

examination under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a decision on the appeal, "will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

DISMISSED

BRUCE H. STONER, JR., Chief)
Administrative Patent Judge)

Say V. Harkcom)
Administrative Patent Judge)

Chief)

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

svt

Application No. 09/262,458

Blakely, Skoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026